Community Action Pioneer Valley’s Three County CoC

***Ranking and Evaluation Committee Meeting***

**10:30-12 Friday, September 9, 20022.  This meeting will be held on zoom.**

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82235395599?pwd=bHF0RzlFNkx2eC9xai9GRm1kRm4wQT09>

**Present:** Michele LaFleur, COC; Keleigh Pereira, CoC; Cindy Ray, MassHire; Justine Dodds, City of Pittsfield; Andy Klatka, Eliot CHS, Calpurnyia Roberts, MHSA; Heather Roy, DTA DV unit; Pamela Schwartz, WMNEH; Stacy Parsons, McKinney Vento; Brad Gordon, co-chair CoC Board; Kim Croce, FSS Gfld Housing.

COC application current review/timeline for the next week and a half

* **Total Available Renewal Project Funding: $3,057,836**
* ***(Including CoC planning dollars of $89,063)***
* ***Available NEW funding includes new DV (RRH/TH-RHH) Bonus - Approximately $169,245 & CoC Bonus funding – Approx. $148,439 (PSH, RHH, TH-RRH)***
* ***NO NEW PROJECT APPLICANTS***
* During this meeting and next - focus on a process for reallocation of any renewal project funding and note any possibilities for reallocation for expansion of current CoC funding,
* The project ranking will be updated with decisions from the Ranking Committee, and an outline of decisions and reasons will be sent to the board for an online vote, to occur between September 13th and 14th.
* On September 15th projects will be notified in writing of their acceptance or denial for applications to be submitted to HUD by CAPV (as the collaborative applicant for MA-507) and the final ranking will be posted on our website.

 Discuss NOFO/CoC response questions/scoring in question/CoC renewal project priorities.

* There are scores based on questions in the CoC application, all throughout – based on how we do with this Renewal and New Project scoring and ranking process – as well as in meeting the timelines for the process. (total of 30 points) - see scoring tool in the NOFO or the COC’s Analysis and Scoring. (*Last year we scored 21 out of 22 available points in this area.)*

**New projects** – 14 total additional points here (*last year we received 3 out of 10 points here*). since there aren’t any - (unless we reallocate current project funding and create a new project – though we don’t have any applicants..) - we lose a total of 14 points off the bat.

* 7 - These points are available for CoCs that apply for at least one permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing project that utilizes healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness
* 7- These points are available for CoCs that apply for at least one new permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing project that utilizes housing subsidies or subsidized housing units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs

**Specific points concerning reallocation** of funding *(this is part of the 30 total points mentioned above*):

* 4 - Demonstrate that the CoC either reallocates funding from lower performing projects to create new higher performing projects or has a process to review the performance of existing projects and reallocate funding from lower performing projects to higher performing projects
	+ Includes that we have cumulatively reallocated at least 20 percent of the CoC’s ARD between the FY 2017 and FY 2022 CoC Program Competitions.
	+ **OR** Demonstrate the CoC actively reviews the performance of existing CoC Program-funded projects and has a standard process for reallocating funding from lower performing projects to create new high performing projects.

**Additional Note re: Project ranking - The CoC Board has set priorities for renewal projects which have (reflected in the Project ranking – outcomes and measurement tool) - *and could be used to consider which projects could receive additional funding – reallocated funds:***

* Demonstrate robust Supportive Services and agree to follow the CoC-Wide Move-on policy
	+ - Are meeting their target for utilization (bed and funding)
* Demonstrate through policies that they have developed anti-discrimination practices that demonstrate racial equity measures in service delivery; and support equal access and measures that address LGBTQ protections.
	+ - Agree to follow the C0C-wide anti-discrimination and equal access policy.
		- Small programs that fill pockets and are critical – high impact – protecting.

Discuss current ranking & tier structure/opportunities for reallocation

* See reallocation policy
	+ **this can be voluntary or involuntary reallocation of funds**
	+ ***One renewal project applicant* - Servicenet - Shelter Plus**
		- **reallocation of these funds is necessary=$89,702, currently in Rental assistance and admin funding.**
		- options
			* **1. CoC applies for the project, removing the subrecipient from the application, and then determine a new subrecipient for the funds after the application process, and before this project begins** (documentation of match needed)  **-**
				+ **Less positive effect on scoring**
				+ **Easier**
			* **2. CoC reallocates those funds to another project which would Expand** (consolidation, expansion, transition-?)
				+ **Positive scoring effect**
				+ **More challenging funds**
				+ **Projects interested?**
				+ **Project interested** *(Keleigh still working on this, will hope to know for sure by Monday’s meeting)***:**
		- **See Tier structure - discussion effect**
* Currently shelter plus care is in slot 8 out of 11 - $89,702.
* Tier 2 includes Construct AIL and Hilltown Village Center a total of $99,541.
* If Shelter Plus care was moved to the bottom of the tier structure (by ranking committee decision/vote) it would mean that it is the only project in tier 2 – at risk of funding loss/reduction.
* New Projects also do not get placed at the bottom, this is an important consideration

**Note: Annual Meeting – September 29th – 1-3pm.** *Please click* [***here***](https://us02web.zoom.us/meeting/register/tZIude2spjwuGtXd0Ar0WFHkO4LXu3HEObqf) *to RSVP.*